

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,961	08/18/2006	Yoshiaki Matsunami	060623	1062
23850 7590 08/13/2009 KRATZ, QUINTOS & HANSON, LLP			EXAMINER	
1420 K Street, N.W.			ONEILL, KARIE AMBER	
Suite 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/589 961 MATSUNAMI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Karie O'Neill 1795 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 August 2006. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8-18-06, 10-19-07, 6-22-09.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/589,961 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 and 2 are pending in this office action.

### Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) or (f), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

Information disclosure statements (IDS), submitted August 18, 2006, October 19,
 and June 22, 2009, have been received and considered by the examiner.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Choi
  (WO 94/02995).

Choi discloses a separator for a lead-acid battery having a sulphuric acid electrolyte (page 16) comprising: a porous membrane made mainly from a polyolefin resin such as polyethylene or polypropylene (pages 16-17), an inorganic powder, or filler material such as metal oxides and hydroxides of silicon, aluminum, barium

Application/Control Number: 10/589,961

Art Unit: 1795

titanium, calcium, magnesium and more (pages 18-19), and a mineral oil (page 20) and containing a surface active agent as an auxiliary material that forms a stable layer that can be embedded into at least a portion of the body of the sheet product (pages 20-21). Choi discloses a procedure for extraction of the processing aid, or mineral oil, from the separator by using a solvent or extraction conditions in which the polymer and filler materials are essentially insoluble; for example, chlorinated hydrocarbons such as trichloroethylene, tetrachloroethylene, carbon tetrachloride, methylene chloride, tetrachloroethane; hydrocarbon solvents such as hexane, benzene, petroleum ether, toluene, cyclohexane, gasoline, etc; and water, ethanol, methanol, acetone, aqueous or alcoholic sodium hydroxide, potassium hydroxide and the like (page 23). Choi does not discloses wherein the surface active agent is characterized in that the amount of any reducing substance liberated or eluted after 24 hours of electrolysis carried out at about 25°C with a direct current of 1.2 A by using an electrolytic cell composed of the porous membrane, a positive electrode, a negative electrode and diluted sulfuric acid is 1.0mL or less per 100 cm<sup>2</sup> when calculated from the consumption of a (1/100)N potassium permanganate solution per 100 cm<sup>2</sup> of the porous membrane, more specifically, when the amount of the reducing substance if 0.7mL or less per 100cm<sup>2</sup>.

The recitations, "the amount of any reducing substance liberated or eluted after 24 hours of electrolysis carried out at about 25°C with a direct current of 1.2 A by using an electrolytic cell composed of the porous membrane, a positive electrode, a negative electrode and diluted sulfuric acid is 1.0mL or less per 100 cm<sup>2</sup> when calculated from the consumption of a (1/100)N potassium permanganate solution per 100 cm<sup>2</sup> of the

Art Unit: 1795

porous membrane" and "the amount of the reducing substance is 0.7 mL or less per 100 cm<sup>2</sup> when calculated from the consumption of a (1/100)N potassium permanganate solution per 100 cm<sup>2</sup> of the porous membrane", are construed as product by process claim limitations. Product-by-process claims are not limited to the manipulations of the recited steps, only the structure implied by the steps. "Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). Since the separator of Choi is the same as the separator of the instant invention. Applicant's process is not given patentable weight in this claim. Also, such properties are inherent, given that both Choi and the instant application utilize the same materials. A reference which is silent about a claimed invention's features is inherently anticipatory if the missing feature is necessarily present in that which is described in the reference. See MPEP 2112.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karie O'Neill whose telephone number is (571)272-8614. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8am to 5pm.

Art Unit: 1795

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/PATRICK RYAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795 Karie O'Neill Examiner Art Unit 1795

KAO